	Case 3:12-cv-00474-MMD-WGC Document 9 Filed 11/16/12 Page 1 of 2
1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
7	DISTRICT OF NEVADA
8	
9	MARCUS D. YOUNG,
10	Petitioner, 3:12-cv-0474-LRH-WGC
11	vs. ORDER
12	ROBERT LEGRAND, et. al.,
13	Respondents. )
14	
15	Marcus D. Young has filed a petition for a writ of habeas corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254
16	and submitted a motion for appointment of counsel (ECF No. 1-3). The motion for counsel shall be filed
17	and is addressed herein.
18	Petitioner is incarcerated at the Lovelock Correctional Center for consecutive terms of ten years
19	to life and twenty years to life on conviction for lewdness with a child under the age of fourteen years
20	and sexual assault on a child. Petitioner pled guilty to the charges. In his petition for writ of habeas
21 22	corpus, Petitioner raises claims of ineffective assistance of counsel, violation of his rights under <i>Miranda</i> and the Sixth Amendment right to counsel, that his plea was not knowing or voluntary as well as
23	cumulative error. The petition presents a coherent document. Respondents have not opposed the
24	motion.
25	There is no constitutional right to appointed counsel for a federal habeas corpus proceeding.
26	Pennsylvania v. Finley, 481 U.S. 551, 555 (1987); Bonin v. Vasquez, 999 F.2d 425, 428 (9th Cir. 1993)
27	The decision to appoint counsel is generally discretionary. <i>Chaney v. Lewis</i> , 801 F.2d 1191, 1196 (9th

28 Cir. 1986), cert. denied, 481 U.S. 1023 (1987); Bashor v. Risley, 730 F.2d 1228, 1234 (9th Cir.), cert.

1	denied, 469 U.S. 838 (1984). However, counsel must be appointed if the complexities of the case are
2	such that denial of counsel would amount to a denial of due process, and where the petitioner is a person
3	of such limited education as to be incapable of fairly presenting his claims. See Chaney, 801 F.2d at
4	1196; see also Hawkins v. Bennett, 423 F.2d 948 (8th Cir. 1970). The claims in this case are not
5	complex. Also, the Court notes from the petition, and from the other documents that petitioner has
6	submitted to the Court, that petitioner is able to present matters to the Court in an organized and
7	understandable manner. Petitioner's motion shall be denied.
8	IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the motion for appointment of counsel (ECF No. 1-3)
9	shall be FILED and is DENIED.
10	DATED this 16th day of November, 2012.
11	Ocaouro
12	LARRY R. HICKS
13	UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
<ul><li>25</li><li>26</li></ul>	
27	